

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/887,810	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAO, SRIRAM
	<b>Examiner</b> Mark A. Mais	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Allowed(1) Mark A. Mais.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Chris Brokaw.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 July 2006**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*Independent claims 1, 7, 16, and 22*

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)
   
**MAM**

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Independent claims 1, 7, 16, and 22 were discussed. Statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101 was discussed and  
an agreement was made. Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed that the independent claims would be  
amended to put them in a form for allowance by Examiner's Amendment.